

From: k l
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/28/02 1:47pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

Dear Judge Kollar-Kotally,

I oppose such a preposterous resolution to the Microsoft case. In the last several years, the U. S. Court of Appeals has found Microsoft guilty of violating all rules of the anti-trust laws.

Yet in the framework of the PFJ, better know as the Proposed Final Judgment, the DoJ throws out these findings, indicting Microsoft on all charges of business wrongdoing. More profound and astonishing is how the PFJ permits Microsoft to continue with its monopolistic practices. I am completely convinced you will receive similar sentiments entailing the various loopholes apparent in the final settlement.

With the evidence presented, the PFJ does not even make an attempt to break up the software giant. What the PFJ permits is the following: permitting Microsoft to leverage its current monopoly positions and expand its business into several other technologies markets. In the past most monopolies were either broken up or carefully regulated. Why not Microsoft? Does AT&T ring a bell?

At the same time, severe reprimands by the DoJ only hinder instead of instigating change with Microsofts existing operation methodologies. Time and time again as history will show, Microsoft will abuse its monopoly position. Breaking up Microsofts business into several parts just might be the best antidote to prevent MS from even doing more damage to the industry. In closing your honor, I submit to you my disapproval of the Proposed Final Judgment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Amor Paraso
7230 Adams Road
Magna UT 84044

Do You Yahoo!?

Great stuff seeking new owners in Yahoo! Auctions!
<http://auctions.yahoo.com>

CC: microsoftcomments@doj.ca.gov@inetgw